

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America

v.

LAMAR JUSTIN JACKSON

Date of Previous Judgment: 2/11/05

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

) Case No: 2:04CR00205-02) USM No: 15165-097) David Porter, Assistant Federal Defender

) Defendant's Attorney

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

DENIED.  GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 84 months **is reduced to** 67 months.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)**Previous Offense Level: 29Amended Offense Level: 27Criminal History Category: IICriminal History Category: IIPrevious Guideline Range: 120 to 121 monthsAmended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to 120 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

Other (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/11/2005 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 7/21/08


Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)
Garland E. Burrell, Jr., United States District Judge  
 Printed name and title